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#### Abstract

We present some results on the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions for system of semilinear impulsive differential with infinite fractional Brownian motions. Our approach is based on Perov's fixed point theorem and a new version of Schaefer's fixed point theorem in generalized Banach spaces. Also, we investigate the relationship between mild and weak solutions.


## 1. Introduction

Differential equations with impulses were considered for the first time by Milman and Myshkis 18 and then followed by a period of active research which culminated with the monograph by Halanay and Wexler [13]. Many phenomena and evolution processes in physics, chemical technology, population dynamics, and natural sciences may change state abruptly or be subject to short-term perturbations. These perturbations may be seen as impulses.
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to the basic theory is well developed in the monographs by Benchohra et al [4], Graef et al [9], Laskshmikantham et al. [2, Samoilenko and Perestyuk [25].

Random differential and integral equations play an important role in characterizing many social, physical, biological and engineering problems; see for instance the monographs by Da Prato and Zabczyk [24], Gard [10], Gikhman and Skorokhod [11], Sobzyk [29] and Tsokos and Padgett [30]. For example, a stochastic model for drug distribution in a biological system was described by Tsokos and Padgett [30] to a closed system with a simplified heat, one organ or capillary bed, and re-circulation of a blood with a constant rate of flow, where the heart is considered as a mixing chamber of constant volume. For the basic theory concerning stochastic differential equations see the monographs by Bharucha-Reid [3], Mao [17], Øksendal, [21], Tsokos and Padgett [30, Sobczyk [29] and Da Prato and Zabczyk [24].

The study of impulsive stochastic differential equations is a new research area. The existence and stability of stochastic of impulsive of differential equations were recently investigated, for example in [8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 23, 22, 31, 32].

This paper is concerned with a system of the following neutral stochastic partial differential equations with delay driven by a Rosenblatt process of the form:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
d(x(t) & +g^{1}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t)))=\left(A_{1} x(t)\right.  \tag{1.1}\\
& \left.+f^{1}(t, x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t))) d t+\sigma^{1}(t)\right) d Z_{1}^{H}(t) \\
& +\int_{\mathcal{Z}} h^{1}(t, x(t-\rho(t)), y(t-\rho(t)), \kappa) \widetilde{N}(d t, d \kappa), t \in[0, b], t \neq t_{k} \\
d(y(t) & +g^{2}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t)))=\left(A_{2} x(t)\right. \\
& \left.+f^{2}(t, x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t))) d t+\sigma^{2}(t)\right) d Z_{2}^{H}(t) \\
& +\int_{\mathcal{Z}} h^{2}(t, x(t-\rho(t)), y(t-\rho(t)), \kappa) \widetilde{N}(d t, d \kappa), t \in[0, b], t \neq t_{k} \\
\Delta x(t) & =I_{k}^{1}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m \\
\Delta y(t) & =\bar{I}_{k}^{2}\left(y\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \\
x(t) & =\phi_{1}(t),-\tau \leq t \leq 0 \\
y(t) & =\phi_{2}(t),-\tau \leq t \leq 0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Here, $x(\cdot), y(\cdot)$ takes the value in the separable Hilbert space $X$ with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot \cdot\rangle$ induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|, A_{i}: D\left(A_{i}\right) \subset X \longrightarrow X$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators $\left(S_{i}(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ in $X$ for each $i=1,2$ and
$f^{i}, g^{i}:[0, b] \times X \times X \longrightarrow X, Z^{H}(t)$ is a Rosenblatt process on a real and separable Hilbert space $Y$ with parameter $H \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), u(t), r(t): J \rightarrow[0, \tau](\tau>0)$ are continuous, $\sigma_{l}^{1}, \sigma_{l}^{2}$ : $J \rightarrow L_{Q}^{0}(Y, X)$. Here, $L_{Q}^{0}(Y, X)$ denotes the space of all $Q_{i}$-Hilbert-Schmidt operators from $Y$ into $X$, which will be defined in the next section. $I_{k}, \bar{I}_{k} \in C(X \times X, X)(k=1,2, \ldots, m)$, $h^{1}, h^{2}: J \times X \times X \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$, which will be also defined in the next section (see section 2 below). Moreover, the fixed times $t_{k}$ satisfies $0<t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<t_{m}<b, y\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$and $y\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$ denotes the left and right limits of $y(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$. As for $x$ we mean the segment solution which is defined in the usual way, that is, if $x(\cdot, \cdot):[-\tau, b] \times \Omega \rightarrow X$, then for any $t \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{0}}$ be the following space defined by
$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{0}}=\left\{\phi_{i}:[-\tau, 0] \times \Omega \rightarrow X\right.$ is continuous everywhere except for a finite number of points $\phi\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$and $\phi\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$with $\left.\phi\left(t_{k}\right)=\phi\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)\right\}$,
endowed with the norm

$$
\|\phi(t)\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{0}}}=\int_{-\tau}^{0}|\phi(t)|^{2} d t .
$$

Now, for a given $b>0$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{b}}= & \left\{x:[-\tau, b] \times \Omega \rightarrow X, x_{k} \in C\left(J_{k}, X\right) \text { for } k=1, \ldots m, \phi_{i} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{0}},\right. \text { and there exist } \\
& \left.x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \text {and } x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \text {with } x\left(t_{k}\right)=x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), k=1, \cdots, m, \text { and } \mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t \in[0, b]}\|y(t)\|^{2}\right)<\infty\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{b}}}=\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq T}\|x(s)\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

where $x_{k}$ denotes the restriction of $x$ to $J_{k}=\left(t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right], k=1,2, \cdots, m$, and $J_{0}=[-\tau, 0]$.

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
d z(t)+ & g_{*}\left(t, z(t-u(t))=A_{*} z(t)+f(t, z(t-r(t))) d t+\sigma^{1}(t) d Z^{H}(t) t_{k}\right.  \tag{1.2}\\
& +\int_{\mathcal{Z}} h(t, z(t-\rho(t)), \kappa) \widetilde{N}(d t, d \kappa), t \in[0, b], t \neq t_{k} \\
\Delta z(t)= & I_{k}^{*}\left(z\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad t=t_{k} \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m \\
z(t)= & \phi(t),-\tau \leq t \leq 0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where

$$
z(t-u(t))=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x(t-u(t)) \\
y(t-u(t))
\end{array}\right], A_{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & 0 \\
0 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right], f\left(t, z(t-r(t))=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f^{1}(t, x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t))) \\
f^{2}(t, x(t-r(t)), y(t-r(t)))
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

and

$$
\sigma(t)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma^{1}(t) \\
\sigma^{2}(t)
\end{array}\right], g\left(t, z(t-r(t))=\left[\begin{array}{l}
g^{1}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t))) \\
g^{2}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t)))
\end{array}\right] \phi(t)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{1}(t) \\
\phi_{2}(t)
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

and

$$
h(t, z(t-\rho(t)), \kappa)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
h^{1}(t, x(t-\rho(t), y(t-\rho(t)), \kappa) \\
h^{2}(t, x(t-\rho(t), y(t-\rho(t)), \kappa)
\end{array}\right], I_{k}^{*}\left(z\left(t_{k}\right)\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
I_{k}^{1}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
I_{k}^{2}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Some results on the existence of solutions for differential equations with infinite Brownian motion were obtained in [12, 31]. Some existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to neutral stochastic delay functional integro-differential equations perturbed by a fractional Brownian motion can be found in Caraballo and Diop [7].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we summarize several important working tools on Rosenblatt process, Poisson point processes and we recall some preliminary results about analytic semi-groups and fractional power associated to its generator that will be used to develop our results. In section 3, by Perov's fixed point theorem we consider a sufficient condition for the existence, uniqueness and exponential decay to zero in mean square for mild solutions of equation (1.1). In Section 4, we give an example to illustrate the efficiency of the obtained result.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations, and recall some definitions, and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper. Actually we will borrow it from [19, 5]. Although we could simply refer to this paper whenever we need it, we prefer to include this summary in order to make our paper as much self-contained as possible.

Let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{t}, P\right)$ be a filtered complete probability space satisfying the usual condition, which means that the filtration is a right continuous increasing family and $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ contains all $P$-null sets. Suppose $\{p(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a $\sigma$-finite stationary $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-adapted Poisson point process taking values in a measurable space $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}))$. The random measure $N_{p}$ defined by $N_{p}((0, t] \times \Lambda):=\sum_{s \in(0, t]} 1_{\Lambda}(p(s))$, for $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U})$ is called the Poisson random measure induced by $p($.$) , thus, we can define the measure \widetilde{N}$. by $\tilde{N}(d t, d \kappa):=N_{p}(d t, d \kappa)-\nu(d z) d t$, where $\nu$ is the characteristic measure of $N_{p}$, which is called the compensated Poisson random measure, for a Borel set $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}-\{0\})$.
2.1. Rosenblatt process. We briefly recall the Rosenblatt process as well as the Wiener integral with respect to it.

Consider $\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ a stationary Gaussian sequence with mean zero and variance 1 such that its correlation function satisfies that $R(n):=E\left(\xi_{0} \xi_{n}\right)=n \frac{2 H-2}{k} L(n)$, with $H \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ and $L$
is a slowly varying function at infinity. Let $G$ be a function of Hermite rank $k$, that is, if $G$ admits the following expansion in Hermite polynomials

$$
G(x)=\sum_{j \geq 0} c_{j} H_{j}(x), \quad c_{j}=\frac{1}{j!} E\left(G E\left(\xi_{0}\right) H_{j}\left(\xi_{0}\right)\right),
$$

and

$$
H_{j}(x)=(-1)^{j} e^{\frac{x^{2}}{2}} \frac{d^{j}}{d x^{j}} e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}}
$$

where $H_{j}(x)$ is the Hermite polynomial of degree $j$, then $k=\min \left\{|j|, c_{j} \neq 0\right\} \geq 1$, the Non-Central Limit Theorem,$\frac{1}{n^{H}} \sum_{j=1}^{[n t]} G\left(\xi_{J}\right)$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in the sense of finite dimensional distributions, to the process

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{k}^{H}=c(H, k) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{k}} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(s-y_{j}\right)_{+}^{-\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1-H}{k}\right)}\right) d s d B\left(\theta_{1}\right) \ldots B\left(\theta_{k}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the above integral is a Wiener.Ito multiple integral of order $k$ with respect to the standard Brownian motion $(B(\theta))_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}}$ and $c(H, k)$ is a positive normalization constant depending only on $H$ and $k$. The process $\left(Z_{k}^{H}(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is called as the Hermite process and it is $H$ self-similar in the sense that for any $c>0,\left(Z_{k}^{H}(c t)=c^{H} Z_{k}^{H}(t)\right)$ and it has stationary increments [1.

When $k=1$ the Hermite process given by (2.3) is the fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ 34]. If $k=2$ then the process 2.3) is called as the Rosenblatt process which arises from the Non-Central Limit Theorem (see [35] and references therein). Consider a time interval $[0, T]$ with arbitrary fixed horizon $T$ and let $\left\{Z^{H}(t) t \in[0, T]\right\}$ be a one-dimensional Rosenblatt process with parameter $H \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. By Tudor [36], the Rosenblatt process with parameter $H>\frac{1}{2}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{H}(t)=d(H) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\int_{\theta_{1} \vee \theta_{2}}^{t} \frac{\partial K^{H^{\prime}}}{\partial u}\left(u, \theta_{1}\right) \frac{\partial K^{H^{\prime}}}{\partial u}\left(u, \theta_{2}\right) d u\right] d B\left(\theta_{1}\right) d B\left(\theta_{2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K^{H}(t, s)$ is given by

$$
K_{H}(t, s)=c_{H} \int_{s}^{t}(u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{u}{s}\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} d u, \quad t \geq s
$$

where $c_{H}=\sqrt{\frac{H(2 H-1)}{\Gamma\left(2 H-2, H-\frac{1}{2}\right)}}$ and $\Gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Beta function. We put $K^{H}(t, s)=0$ if $t \leq s$.

$$
\frac{\partial K_{H}}{\partial t}(t, s)=c_{H}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}}(t-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}
$$

where $(B(t), t \in[0, T])$ is a Brownian motion, $H^{\prime}=\frac{H+1}{2}$ and $d(H)=\frac{1}{H+1} \sqrt{\frac{H}{2(2 H-1)}}$ is a normalizing constant. The covariance of the Rosenblatt process $\left\{Z^{H}(t), t \in[0, T]\right\}$ satisfies that $\left.R_{H}(t, s)=E\left[Z^{H}(t)\right) Z^{H}(s)\right]$

$$
R_{H}(t, s)=\frac{1}{2}\left(|t|^{2 H}+|s|^{2 H}-|t-s|^{2 H}\right) \quad t, s \in[0, T]
$$

One note that

$$
Z^{H}(t)=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} I\left(\chi_{[0, t}\right)\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) d B\left(\theta_{1}\right) d B\left(\theta_{2}\right)
$$

where the operator $I$ is defined on the set of functions $f:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, which takes its values in the set of functions $G:[0, T]^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ and is given by

$$
I(f)\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=d(H) \int_{\theta_{1} \vee \theta_{2}}^{T} f(u) \frac{\partial K^{H^{\prime}}}{\partial u}\left(u, \theta_{1}\right) \frac{\partial K^{H^{\prime}}}{\partial u}\left(u, \theta_{2}\right) d u
$$

Let $f$ be an element of the set $\mathcal{E}$ of step functions on $[0, T]$ of the form

$$
f=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i} \chi_{\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]}, \quad t_{i} \in[0, T]
$$

Then, it is natural to define its Wiener integral with respect to $Z^{H}$ as

$$
\int_{0}^{T} f(u) Z^{H}(u):=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}\left(Z^{H}\left(t_{i+1}\right)-Z^{H}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} I(f)\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) d B\left(\theta_{1}\right) d B\left(\theta_{2}\right)
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the set of functions $f$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left\{f:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}: \quad\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}=2 \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left(I(f)\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)\right)^{2} d\left(\theta_{1}\right) d\left(\theta_{2}\right)<\infty\right\}
$$

It follows that (see 36])

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}=H(2 H-1) \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} f(u) f(v)|u-v|^{2 H-2} d u d v
$$

It is shown in [1] that the mapping

$$
f \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T} f(u) d Z^{H}(u)
$$

defines an isometry from $\mathcal{E}$ to $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and it can be extended continuously to an isometry from $\mathcal{H}$ to $L^{2}(\Omega)$ because $\mathcal{E}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. We call this extension as the Wiener integral of $f \in \mathcal{H}$ with respect to $Z^{H}$.
We refer to [36] for the proof of the fact that K.H is an isometry between H and $L^{2}([0, T])$.

It follows from [36] that $H$ contains not only functions but its elements could be also distributions. In order to obtain a space of functions contained in H , we consider the linear space $|\mathcal{H}|$ generated by the measurable functions $f$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{|\mathcal{H}|}^{2}=H(2 H-1) \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T}|f(u)\|f(v)\| u-v|^{2 H-2} d u d v
$$

where $\alpha_{H}=H(2 H-1)$. The space $|H|$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|f\|_{|\mathcal{H}|}$ and we have the following inclusions (see [36]).

As a consequence, we have

$$
L^{2}([0, T]) \subset L^{\frac{1}{H}}([0, T]) \subset|\mathcal{H}| \subset \mathcal{H}
$$

For any $f \in L^{2}([0, T])$, we have

$$
\|f\|_{|\mathcal{H}|}^{2}=2 H T^{2 H-1} \int_{0}^{T}|f(s)|^{2} d s
$$

and

$$
\|f\|_{|\mathcal{H}|}^{2} \leq C(H)\|f\|_{L^{\frac{1}{H}}([0, T])}^{2}
$$

for some constant $C(H)>0$. For simplicity throughout this paper we let $C(H)>0$ stand for a positive constant depending only on $H$ and its value may be different in different appearances.
Consider the linear operator $K_{H}^{*}$ from $\mathcal{E}$ to $L^{2}([0, T])$ defined by

$$
\left(K_{H}^{*} f\right)\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=\int_{\theta_{1} \vee \theta_{2}}^{T} f(t) \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial t}\left(t, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) d t
$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the kernel of Rosenblatt process in representation (2.4)

$$
\mathcal{K}\left(t, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=\chi_{[0, t]}\left(\theta_{1}\right) \chi_{[0, t]}\left(\theta_{2}\right) \int_{\theta_{1} \vee \theta_{2}}^{T} \frac{\partial K^{H^{\prime}}}{\partial u}\left(u, \theta_{1}\right) \frac{\partial K^{H^{\prime}}}{\partial u}\left(u, \theta_{2}\right) d u
$$

Notice that $\left(K_{H}^{*} \chi_{[0, t]}\right)\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=\mathcal{K}\left(t, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \chi_{[0, t]}\left(\theta_{1}\right) \chi_{[0, t]}\left(\theta_{2}\right)$. The operator $K_{H}^{*}$ is an isometry between $\mathcal{E}$ to $L^{2}([0, T])$, which could be extended to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. In fact, for any $s, t \in[0, T]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle K_{H}^{*} \chi_{[0, t]}, K_{H}^{*} \chi_{[0, s]}\right\rangle_{L^{2}([0, T])} & =\left\langle\mathcal{K}(t, ., .) \chi_{[0, t]}, \mathcal{K}(t, ., .) \chi_{[0, s]}\right\rangle_{L^{2}([0, T])} \\
& =\int_{0}^{t \wedge s} \int_{0}^{t \wedge s} \mathcal{K}\left(t, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \mathcal{K}\left(s, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) d \theta_{1} d \theta_{2} \\
& =H(2 H-1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}|u-v|^{2 H-2} d u d v \\
& =\left\langle\chi_{[0, t]}, \chi_{[0, s]}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$
Z^{H}(f)=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} K_{H}^{*}(f)\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) d B\left(\theta_{1}\right) d B\left(\theta_{2}\right)
$$

Let $\left\{z_{n}(t)\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a sequence of two-sided one dimensional Rosenblatt process mutually independent on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. We consider a $K$-valued stochastic process $Z_{Q}(t)$ given by the following series:

$$
Z_{Q}(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n}(t) Q^{1 / 2} e_{n}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Moreover, if $Q$ is a non-negative self-adjoint trace class operator, then this series converges in the space $K$, that is, it holds that $Z_{Q}(t) \in L^{2}(\Omega, K)$. Then, we say that the above $Z_{Q}(t)$ is a $K$-valued $Q$ - Rosenblatt process with covariance operator $Q$. For example, if $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a bounded sequence of non-negative real numbers such that $Q e_{n}=\sigma_{n} e_{n}$, assuming that $Q$ is a nuclear operator in $K$, then the stochastic process

$$
Z_{Q}(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n}(t) Q^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n}(t) \sqrt{\sigma_{n}} e_{n}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

is well-defined as a $X$-valued $Q$ - Rosenblatt process.

Definition 2.1. Let $\phi:[0, T] \rightarrow L_{Q}^{0}(Y, X)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|K_{H}^{*}\left(\phi Q^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}([0, T], X)}<\infty$. Then, its stochastic integral with respect to the Rosenblatt process $Z_{Q}(t)$ is defined, for $t \geq 0$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \phi(s) d Z_{Q}(s):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} \phi(s) Q^{1 / 2} e_{n} d z_{n}(s)=\int_{0}^{t} K_{H}^{*}\left(\phi Q^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{n}\right)\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) d B\left(\theta_{1}\right) d B\left(\theta_{2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we end this subsection by stating the following result which is fundamental to prove our result.

Lemma 2.1. [6] For any $\phi:[0, T] \rightarrow L_{Q}^{0}(Y, X)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|\phi Q^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{n}\right\|_{L^{\frac{1}{H}}([0, T], X)}$ holds, and for any $\alpha, \beta \in[0, T]$ with $\alpha>\beta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\|\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi(s) d Z_{Q}(s)\right\|^{2} \leq c_{H} H(2 H-1)(\alpha-\beta)^{2 H-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left\|\phi(s) Q^{1 / 2} e_{n}\right\|^{2} d s \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=c(H)$. If, in addition,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|\phi Q^{1 / 2} e_{n}\right\| \text { is uniformly convergent for } t \in[0, T]
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\|\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi(s) d B_{l}^{H}(s)\right\|^{2} \leq c_{H} H(2 H-1)(\alpha-\beta)^{2 H-1} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\|\phi(s)\|_{L_{Q}^{0}}^{2} d s \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. FixEd POINT RESULTS

The classical Banach contraction principle was extended for contractive maps on spaces endowed with vector-valued metric space by Perov in 1964 [27], Precup [26]. Let us recall now some useful definitions and results.

Definition 3.1. A square matrix of real numbers is said to be convergent to zero if and only if its spectral radius $\rho(M)$ is strictly less than 1 . In other words, this means that all the eigenvalues of $M$ are in the open unit disc. (i.e. $|\lambda|<1$, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{det}(M-\lambda I)=0$, where I denote the unit matrix of $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ ).

Definition 3.2. We say that a non-singular matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ has the absolute value property if

$$
A^{-1}|A| \leq I
$$

where

$$
|A|=\left(\left|a_{i j}\right|\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) .
$$

Lemma 3.1. [20] Let $M$ be a square matrix of nonnegative numbers. The following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $M$ is convergent towards zero;
(ii) the matrix $I-M$ is non-singular and

$$
(I-M)^{-1}=I+M+M^{2}+\ldots+M^{k}+\ldots
$$

(iii) $\|\lambda\|<1$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{det}(M-\lambda I)=0$
(iv) $(I-M)$ is non-singular and $(I-M)^{-1}$ has nonnegative elements;

Some examples of matrices convergent to zero are the following:

1) $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right)$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\max (a, b)<1$
2) $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & -c \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right)$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $a+b<1, c<1$
3) $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & -a \\ b & -b\end{array}\right)$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $|a-b|<1, a>1, b>0$.

For other examples and considerations on matrices which converge to zero, see Precup [26], Rus [40, and Turinici [39].

We can recall now a fixed point theorem in a complete generalized metric space.

Theorem 3.1. [27] Let $(X, d)$ be a complete generalized metric space with $d: X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $N: X \longrightarrow X$ be such that

$$
d(N(x), N(y)) \leq M d(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and some square matrix $M$ of nonnegative numbers. If the matrix $M$ is convergent to zero, that is $M^{k} \longrightarrow 0$ as $k \longrightarrow \infty$, then $N$ has a unique fixed point $x_{*} \in X$

$$
d\left(N^{k}\left(x_{0}\right), x_{*}\right) \leq M^{k}(I-M)^{-1} d\left(N\left(x_{0}\right), x_{0}\right)
$$

for every $x_{0} \in X$ and $k \geq 1$.

We suppose that $0 \in \rho\left(A_{i}\right)$ (the resolvent set of $A_{i}$, for each $i=1,2$ ). that the semigroup $S_{i}(t)$ is uniformly bounded, that is to say, $\left\|S_{i}(t)\right\| \leq \bar{M}_{1}$, for some constant $\bar{M}_{1} \geq 1$ and for every $t \geq 0$. For $0<\alpha \leq 1$, it is possible to define the fractional power operator $\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\alpha}$ as a closed linear operator on its domain $D\left(\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\alpha}\right)$ with inverse $\left(-A_{i}\right)^{-\alpha}$. Furthermore, the sub-space $D\left(\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\alpha}\right)$ is dense in $X$. We denote by $X_{\alpha}$ the Banach space $D\left(\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\alpha}\right)$ endowed with the norm $\|x\|_{\alpha}=\left\|\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\alpha} x\right\|$ for $x \in D\left(\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\alpha}\right)$ defines a norm on $D\left(\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\alpha}\right)$, which is equivalent to the graph norm of $\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\alpha}$, we represent $X_{\alpha}$ the space $D\left(\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\alpha}\right)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$. then the following properties are well known (cf. Pazy. ([37]), p. 74).

Lemma 3.2. (A): If $0<\beta<\alpha \leq 1$, then $X_{\alpha} \subset X_{\beta}$ and the embedding is compact whenever the resolvent operator of $A_{i}$ is compact.
(B): For each $0<\alpha \leq 1$, there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha}$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\alpha} S_{i}(t)\right\| \leq \frac{C_{\alpha}}{t^{\alpha}} e^{-\lambda t}, t>0, \lambda>0
$$

We are now in a position to state and prove our local existence result for the problem (1.1). First we will list the following hypotheses which will be imposed in our main theorem.

- (H1) $A_{i}$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup, $S_{i}(t)$ of bounded linear operators on $X$. Further, to avoid unnecessary notations, we suppose that $0 \in \rho\left(A_{i}\right)$, and that, see Lemma 3.2. and there exists a constant $M$ such that $\left\{\left\|S_{i}(t)\right\|^{2} \leq M\right\}$ for all $t \geq 0$

$$
\left\|\left(-A_{i}\right)^{1-\beta} S_{i}(t)\right\| \leq C_{1-\beta} t^{\beta-1}
$$

for some constants $M, C_{1-\beta}$ and every $t \in[0, b]$.

- (H2)
(i): There exist constants $0<\beta<1, L_{g_{i 1}} \geq 0$ and $g^{i}$ is $X_{\beta}$-valued, $\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\beta} g^{i}$ is continuous, and

$$
\left\|\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\beta} g^{i}\left(t, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq L_{g_{i 1}}\left(1+\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{2}\right), t \in J, y_{1}, y_{2} \in X
$$

(ii): There exist constants $0<\beta<1, L_{g_{i}}, L_{\bar{g}_{i}} \geq 0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\beta} g^{i}(t, x, y)-\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\beta} g^{i}(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y})\right\| \leq L_{g_{i}}\|x-\bar{x}\|+L_{\bar{g}_{i}}\|y-\bar{y}\|, t \in J, \\
& \quad x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in X
\end{aligned}
$$

- (H3) The map $f^{i}:[0, \infty) \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following condition: for all $t \geq 0$, $x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in X$ that is, there exist positive constants $L_{f_{i}}, L_{\bar{f}_{i}}$ and $L_{f_{i 1}}, i=1,2$ such that,

$$
\left\|f^{i}(t, x, y)-f^{i}(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y})\right\| \leq L_{f_{i}}\|x-\bar{x}\|+L_{\bar{f}_{i}}\|y-\bar{y}\|
$$

and

$$
\left\|f^{i}(t, x, y)\right\|^{2} \leq L_{f_{i 1}}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right)
$$

- (H4) There existe a constant $c_{i}, \bar{c}_{i}$ for each $i=1,2$ such that

$$
\left\|I_{k}^{i}(x, y)-I_{k}^{i}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\right\| \leq c_{i}\|x-\bar{x}\|+\bar{c}_{i}\|y-\bar{y}\|
$$

for all $x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y} \in X$ and $t \in J$.

- (H5)The function $\sigma^{i}: J \longrightarrow L_{Q_{i}}^{0}(Y, X)$ satisfies

$$
\int_{0}^{b}\left\|\sigma^{i}(s)\right\|_{L_{Q_{i}}^{0}}^{2} d s<\infty
$$

- (H6) There exists a positive constant $L_{h_{i 1}}, L_{\bar{h}_{i 1}}, i=1,2$ such that,

$$
\int_{\mathcal{Z}}\left\|h^{i}(s, x, y, \kappa)-h^{i}(s, \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \kappa)\right\|^{2} \nu(d \kappa) \leq L_{h_{i 1}}\|x-\bar{x}\|^{2}+L_{\bar{h}_{i 1}}\|y-\bar{y}\|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathcal{Z}}\left\|h^{1}(s, x, y, \kappa)\right\|^{2} \nu(d \kappa) \leq L_{h_{i 1}}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right)
$$

for all $x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y} \in X$ and $t \in J$.
Now, we first define the concept of mild solution to our problem.
Definition 3.3. Aa $X$ - valued stochastic process $u=(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{b}} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{b}}$ is called a mild solution of the problem (1.1) with respect to the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, if:

1) $u(t)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-adapted for all $t \in J_{k}=\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right] \quad k=1,2, \ldots, m$;
2) $u(t)$ is right continuous and has limit on the left almost surely;
3) $u(t)$ satisfies for all $t \in[-\tau, b]$ and almost surely that,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
x(t) & =S_{1}(t)\left(\phi_{1}(0)+g^{1}\left(0, \phi_{1}(-u(0)), \phi_{2}(-u(0))\right)-g^{1}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t)))\right.  \tag{3.8}\\
& -\int_{0}^{t} A_{1} S_{1}(t-s) g^{1}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s))) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}(t-s) f^{1}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) d s+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) \sigma^{1}(s) d Z_{Q_{1}}(s) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}(t-s) \int_{\mathcal{Z}} h^{1}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa) \widetilde{N}(d s, d \kappa) \\
& +\sum_{0<t<t_{k}} S_{1}\left(t-t_{k}\right) I_{k}^{1}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
y(t) & =S_{2}(t)\left(\phi_{2}(0)+g^{2}\left(0, \phi_{1}(-u(0)), \phi_{2}(-u(0))\right)-g^{2}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t)))\right. \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} A_{2} S_{2}(t-s) g^{2}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s))) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} S_{2}(t-s) f^{2}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) d s+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) \sigma^{2}(s) d Z_{Q_{2}}(s) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} S_{2}(t-s) \int_{\mathcal{Z}} h^{2}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa) \widetilde{N}(d s, d \kappa) \\
& +\sum_{0<t<t_{k}} S_{2}\left(t-t_{k}\right) I_{k}^{2}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

## 4. Existence and uniqueness of the mild solution

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that (H1) - (H6) hold and that. Then, problem 1.1) possesses a unique mild solution on $[-\tau, b]$.

Proof. Fix $b>0$, let $b>0$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{b}}= & \left\{x:[-\tau, b] \times \Omega \rightarrow X, x_{k} \in C\left(J_{k}, X\right) \text { for } k=1, \ldots m, \phi_{i} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{0}},\right. \text { and there exist } \\
& \left.x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right) \text {and } x\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \text {with } x\left(t_{k}\right)=x\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), k=1, \cdots, m, \text { and } \mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t \in[0, b]}\|y(t)\|^{2}\right)<\infty\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{b}}}=\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{0 \leq s \leq b}\|x(s)\|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

and

$$
S_{b}(\phi):=\left\{x \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{T}}, \quad x(s)=\phi(s), \text { for } s \in[-\tau, 0]\right\}
$$

Then, $S_{b}\left(\phi_{1}\right)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{b}}$ with the norm $\|x\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_{b}}}$. Consider the operator $N$ : $S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi) \rightarrow S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi)$ defined by

$$
N(x, y)=\left(N_{1}(x, y), N_{2}(x, y)\right),(x, y) \in S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi)
$$

where

$$
N_{1}(x(t), y(t))=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{1}(t) \quad t \in[-\tau, 0] \\
\\
S_{1}(t)\left(\phi_{1}(0)+g^{1}\left(0, \phi_{1}(-u(0)), \phi_{2}(-u(0))\right)-g^{1}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t)))\right. \\
\quad-\int_{0}^{t} A_{1} S_{1}(t-s) g^{1}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s))) d s \\
\quad+\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}(t-s) f^{1}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) d s+\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}(t-s) \sigma^{1}(s) d Z_{Q_{1}}(s) \\
\quad+\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}((t-s) t-s) \int_{\mathcal{Z}} h^{1}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa) \tilde{N}(d s, d \kappa) \\
\quad+\sum_{0<t<t_{k}} S_{1}\left(t-t_{k}\right) I_{k}^{1}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad \mathbb{P}-a . s, \quad t \in J
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
N_{2}(x(t), y(t))=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{2}(t) \quad t \in[-\tau, 0] \\
\\
S_{2}(t)\left(\phi_{2}(0)+g^{2}\left(0, \phi_{1}(-u(0)), \phi_{2}(-u(0))\right)-g^{2}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t)))\right. \\
\quad-\int_{0}^{t} A_{2} S(t-s) g^{2}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s))) d s \\
\quad+\int_{0}^{t} S_{2}(t-s) f^{2}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) d s+\int_{0}^{t} S_{2}(t-s) \sigma^{2}(s) d Z_{Q_{2}}(s) \\
\quad+\int_{0}^{t} S_{2}(t-s) \int_{\mathcal{Z}} h^{2}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa) \widetilde{N}(d s, d \kappa) \\
\quad+\sum_{0<t<t_{k}} S_{2}\left(t-t_{k}\right) I_{k}^{2}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad \mathbb{P}-a . s, \quad t \in J
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then it is clear that to prove the existence of mild solutions to equation (1.1) is equivalent to find a fixed point for the operator $N$.

Now, we aim to prove that the operator $N$ has a fixed point by means of the Perov's fixed point theorem. The proof will be divided into the following two steps.

Step 1. Next we show that $N(x, y)(t)=\left(N_{1}(x, y)(t), N_{2}(x, y)(t)\right)$ is càdlàg process on $S_{T}(\phi)$. For arbitrary $(x, y) \in S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi)$, we will prove that $t \rightarrow N(x, y)(t)$ is continuous on the interval $[0, b]$ in the $L^{2}(\Omega, X)$-sense. Let $0<t<b$ and $|h|$ be sufficiently small. Then, for
any fixed $(x, y) \in S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|N_{1}(x, y)(t+h)-N_{1}(x, y)(t)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \|\left(S_{1}(t+h)-S_{1}(t)\right)\left(\phi_{1}(0)+g^{1}\left(0, \phi_{1}(-u(0)), \phi_{2}(-u(0))\right) \|\right. \\
& +\left\|g^{1}(t+h, x(t+h-u(t+h)), y(t+h-u(t+h)))-g^{1}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t)))\right\| \\
& \quad+\| \int_{0}^{t+h} A_{1} S_{1}(t+h-s) g^{1}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s))) d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} A_{1} S_{1}(t-s) g^{1}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s))) d s \| \\
& \quad+\| \int_{0}^{t+h} S_{1}(t+h-s) f^{1}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}(t-s) f^{1}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) d s \| \\
& \quad+\left\|\int_{0}^{t+h} S_{1}(t+h-s) \sigma^{1}(s) d Z_{Q}(s)-\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}(t-s) \sigma^{1}(s) d Z_{Q}(s)\right\| \\
& +\| \int_{0}^{t+h} S_{1}(t+h-s) \int_{\mathcal{Z}} h^{1}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa) \widetilde{N}(d s, d \kappa) \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}(t-s) \int_{\mathcal{Z}} h^{1}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa) \widetilde{N}(d s, d \kappa) \| \\
& +\left\|\sum_{0<t<t_{k}+h} S_{1}\left(t+h-t_{k}\right) I_{k}^{1}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-\sum_{0<t<t_{k}} S_{1}\left(t-t_{k}\right) I_{k}^{1}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|:=\sum_{l=1}^{7} J_{l}^{1}(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|N_{1}(x, y)(t+h)-N_{1}(x, y)(t)\right\|=\sum_{l=1}^{7} J_{l}^{1}(h) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar computations for $N_{2}$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|N_{2}(x, y)(t+h)-N_{2}(x, y)(t)\right\| \leq \sum_{l=1}^{7} J_{l}^{2}(h) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We estimate the various terms of the right hand of (4.9) and 4.10) separately.
For the first term, we have

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left(S_{1}(t+h)-S_{1}(t)\right)\left(\phi_{1}(0)+g^{i}\left(0, \phi_{1}(-u(0)), \phi_{2}(-u(0))\right)=0\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1}^{1}(h) & =\|\left(S_{1}(t+h)-S_{1}(t)\right)\left(\phi_{1}(0)+g^{1}\left(0, \phi_{1}(-u(0)), \phi_{2}(-u(0))\right) \|\right. \\
& \leq 2 M\left\|\phi_{1}(0)+g^{1}\left(0, \phi_{1}(-u(0)), \phi_{2}(-u(0))\right)\right\| \in L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{1}^{2}(h) & =\|\left(S_{2}(t+h)-S_{2}(t)\right)\left(\phi_{2}(0)+g^{2}\left(0, \phi_{1}(-u(0)), \phi_{2}(-u(0))\right) \|\right. \\
& \leq 2 M\left\|\phi_{2}(0)+g^{2}\left(0, \phi_{1}(-u(0)), \phi_{2}(-u(0))\right)\right\| \in L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by the Lebesgue dominated theorem, we obtain

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left\|J_{1}^{i}(h)\right\|^{2}=0, \quad i=1,2
$$

By using assumption (H2) and the fact that the operator $(-A)^{-\beta}$ is bounded, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|J_{2}^{i}(h)\right|^{2} & =\mathbb{E} \|\left(-A_{i}\right)^{-\beta}\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\beta}\left(g^{i}(t+h, x(t+h-u(t+h)), y(t+h-u(t+h)))\right. \\
& \left.-g^{i}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t)))\right) \|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\left(-A_{i}\right)^{-\beta}\right\|^{2} \mathbb{E} \|\left(-A_{i}\right)^{\beta}\left(g^{i}(t+h, x(t+h-u(t+h)), y(t+h-u(t+h)))\right. \\
& \left.-g^{i}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t)))\right) \|^{2} \\
& \rightarrow 0, \quad h \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate $J_{3}^{i}(h)$ for each $i=1,2$. We consider only the case that $h>0$ (for $h<0$ we have the similar estimates hold).

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{3}^{i}(h) & \leq \| \int_{0}^{t} A_{i} S_{i}(t+h-s) g^{i}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s))) d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} A_{i} S_{i}(t-s) g^{i}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s))) d s \| \\
& +\left\|\int_{t}^{t+h} A_{i} S_{i}(t+h-s) g^{i}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s))) d s\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right)\left(-A_{i}\right)^{1-\beta} S_{i}(t-s)\left(-A_{i}\right)^{-\beta} g^{i}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s))) d s\right\| \\
& +\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\left(-A_{i}\right)^{1-\beta} S_{i}(t+h-s)\left(-A_{i}\right)^{-\beta} g^{i}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s))) d s\right\| \\
& :=J_{31}^{i}(h)+J_{32}^{i}(h) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term $J_{31}^{i}(h)$. We have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|J_{31}^{i}(h)\right|^{2} \leq t \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right)\left(-A_{i}\right)^{1-\beta} S_{i}(t-s)\left(-A_{i}\right)^{-\beta} g^{i}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s)))\right\|^{2} d s
$$

and

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right)\left(-A_{i}\right)^{1-\beta} S_{i}(t-s)\left(-A_{i}\right)^{-\beta} g^{i}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s)))\right\|=0
$$

since the strong continuity of $S(t)$. By conditions $(H 1)$ and $(H 2)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{31}^{1}(h)= & \left\|\left(S_{1}(h)-I\right)\left(-A_{1}\right)^{1-\beta} S_{1}(t-s)\left(-A_{1}\right)^{-\beta} g^{1}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s)))\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{4 M^{2} M_{1-\beta}^{2}}{(t-s)^{2-2 \beta}}\left\|\left(-A_{1}\right)^{-\beta} g^{1}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s)))\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq L_{g_{11}} \frac{4 M^{2} M_{1-\beta}^{2}}{(t-s)^{2-2 \beta}}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
J_{31}^{2}(h) \leq L_{g_{12}} \frac{4 M^{2} M_{1-\beta}^{2}}{(t-s)^{2-2 \beta}}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right)
$$

Hence, by the Lebesgue dominated theorem, we have

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left|J_{31}^{i}(h)\right|^{2}=0
$$

Now, we estimate the term $J_{32}(h)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left|J_{32}^{1}(h)\right|^{2} & \leq \int_{t}^{t+h}\left\|\left(-A_{1}\right)^{1-\beta} S_{1}(t+h-s)\right\|^{2} d s \times \int_{t}^{t+h}\left\|\left(-A_{1}\right)^{-\beta} g^{1}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s)))\right\|^{2} d s \\
& \leq \int_{t}^{t+h} \frac{M_{1-\beta}^{2}}{(t+h-s)^{2-2 \beta}} d s \times \int_{t}^{t+h}\left\|\left(-A_{1}\right)^{-\beta} g^{1}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s)))\right\|^{2} d s \\
& \leq \int_{t}^{t+h} \frac{M_{1-\beta}^{2}}{(t+h-s)^{2-2 \beta}} d s \times \int_{0}^{b}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right) d s \\
& \leq L_{g_{11}} \frac{M_{1-\beta}^{2} h^{2 \beta-1}}{2 \beta-1} \int_{0}^{b}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right) d s \rightarrow 0, \quad h \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|J_{32}^{2}(h)\right|^{2} \leq L_{g_{12}} \frac{M_{1-\beta}^{2} h^{2 \beta-1}}{2 \beta-1} \int_{0}^{b}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right) d s \rightarrow 0, \quad h \rightarrow 0
$$

For the term $J_{4}^{i}(h)$. We consider also only the case that $h>0$ (for $h<0$ we have the similar estimates hold).

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{4}^{i}(h) & \leq \| \int_{0}^{t} S_{i}(t+h-s) f^{i}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) \\
& -S_{i}(t-s) f^{i}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) d s \| \\
& +\left\|\int_{t}^{t+h} S_{i}(t+h-s) f^{i}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) d s\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right) S_{i}(t-s) f^{i}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) d s\right\| \\
& +\left\|\int_{t}^{t+h} S_{i}(t+h-s) f^{i}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) d s\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumption (H3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left|J_{4}^{i}(h)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq t \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right) S_{i}(t-s) f^{i}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s)))\right\|^{2} d s \\
& +M^{2} h \int_{t}^{t+h}\left\|f^{i}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s)))\right\|^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right) S_{i}(t-s) f^{i}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s)))\right\|^{2}=0
$$

By conditions (H1) and (H2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\left(\left(S_{1}(h)-I\right) S_{1}(t-s) f^{1}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) \|^{2}\right. & \leq 4 M^{4}\left\|f^{1}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s)))\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 4 L_{f_{11}} M^{4}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly for $t \in[0, b]$, we have the estimate

$$
\|\left(\left(S_{2}(h)-I\right) S_{2}(t-s) f^{2}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s))) \|^{2} \leq 4 L_{f_{12}} M^{4}\left(1+\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right)\right.
$$

Hence, by the Lebesgue dominated theorem, we have

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left|J_{4}^{i}(h)\right|^{2}=0
$$

For the term $J_{5}^{i}(h)$, see details [38] . By condition (H5), Lemma 2.1 and the Lebesgue dominated theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|J_{5}^{i}(h)\right|^{2} \\
& =E\left\|\int_{0}^{t+h} S_{i}(t+h-s) \sigma^{i}(s) d Z_{Q}(s)-\int_{0}^{t} S_{i}(t-s) \sigma^{i}(s) d s\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq E \| \int_{0}^{t}\left(S_{i}(t+h-s)-S_{i}(-s) \sigma^{i}(s) d Z_{Q}(s)\left\|^{2}+E\right\| \int_{t}^{t+h} S_{i}(t+h-s) \sigma^{i}(s) d Z_{Q}(s) \|^{2}\right. \\
& \leq C(H) t^{2 H-1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right) S_{i}(t-s) \sigma^{i}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+C(H) h^{2 H-1} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{t+h}\left\|S_{i}(t+h-s) \sigma^{i}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& \leq C(H) b^{2 H-1} M^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right) \sigma^{i}(s)\right\|^{2} d s+C(H) h^{2 H-1} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{t+h}\left\|S_{i}(t+h-s) \sigma^{i}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& \rightarrow 0, \quad h \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right) S_{i}(t-s) \sigma^{1}(s)\right\|^{2}=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right) \sigma^{i}(s)\right\|^{2} \leq 2 M^{2}\left\|\sigma^{i}(s)\right\|^{2}<\infty \\
& \left\|\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right) \sigma^{i}(s)\right\|^{2} \leq M^{2}\left\|\sigma^{i}(s)\right\|^{2}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

The condition (H4) assures that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|J_{6}^{i}(h)\right|^{2} & \leq M^{2} \sum_{0<t_{k}<t}\left\|\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right) I_{k}^{i}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +\sum_{t<t_{k}<t+h}\left\|S_{i}\left(t+h-t_{k}\right) I_{k}^{i}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(S_{i}(h)-I\right) S_{i}\left(t-t_{k}\right) I_{k}^{i}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|^{2}=0
$$

By assumption (H6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left|J_{7}^{i}(h)\right|^{2} \\
& =2 \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\left(S_{i}(t+h-s)-S_{i}(t-s)\right) \int_{\mathcal{Z}} h^{i}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa) \widetilde{N}(d s, d \kappa)\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_{t}^{t+h} S_{i}(t+h-s) \int_{\mathcal{Z}} h^{i}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa) \tilde{N}(d s, d \kappa)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 2 M^{2}\left\|S_{i}(h)-I\right\|^{2} E \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{Z}}\left\|h^{i}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa)\right\|^{2} \nu(d \kappa) d s \\
& \leq 2 M^{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{\mathcal{Z}}\left\|h^{i}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa)\right\|^{2} \nu(d \kappa) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{Z}}\left\|h^{1}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa)\right\|^{2} \nu(d \kappa) d s \leq L_{h_{11}} t\left(1+\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}\right)<\infty .
$$

Using the strong continuity of $S_{i}(t)$ and the Lebesgue dominated theorem, we get

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left|J_{7}^{i}(h)\right|^{2}=0
$$

The above arguments show that

$$
\|N(x, y)(t+h)-N(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(t)\|=\binom{\left\|N_{1}(x, y)(t+h)-N_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(t)\right\|}{\left\|N_{2}(x, y)(t+h)-N_{2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(t)\right\|}=\binom{0}{0} \text { as } h \rightarrow 0 .
$$

The above arguments show that $N(x, y)(t)$ is càdlàg process. Then, we conclude that $N\left(S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi)\right) \subset S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi)$

Step 2.Now, we are going to show that $N: S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi) \rightarrow S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi)$ is a contraction mapping. For this end, fixe $x, y \in S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|N_{1}(x, y)(t)-N_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 5\left\|\left(-A_{1}\right)^{-\beta}\right\|^{2}\left\|\left(-A_{1}\right)^{\beta}\left(g^{1}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t)))-g^{1}(t, \bar{x}(t-u(t)), \bar{y}(t-u(t)))\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +5\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\left(-A_{1}\right)^{1-\beta} S_{1}(t-s)\left(-A_{1}\right)^{\beta}\left(g^{1}(s, x(s-u(s)), y(s-u(s)))-g^{1}(s, \bar{x}(s-u(s)), \bar{y}(s-u(s)))\right) d s\right\|^{2} \\
& +5\left\|\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}(t-s)\left(f^{1}(s, x(s-r(s)), y(s-r(s)))-f^{1}(s, \bar{x}(s-r(s)), \bar{y}(s-r(s)))\right) d s\right\|^{2} \\
& +5\left\|\int_{0}^{t} S_{1}(t-s) \int_{\mathcal{Z}} h^{1}(s, x(s-\rho(s)), y(s-\rho(s)), \kappa)-h^{1}(s, \bar{x}(s-\rho(s)), \bar{y}(s-\rho(s)), \kappa) \widetilde{N}(d s, d \kappa)\right\|^{2} \\
& +5\left\|\sum_{0<t<t_{k}} S_{1}\left(t-t_{k}\right)\left(I_{k}^{1}\left(x\left(t_{k}\right), y\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-I_{k}^{1}\left(\bar{x}\left(t_{k}\right), \bar{y}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From assumption $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{6}\right)$ and Lemma 2.1, yields that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left\|N_{1}(x, y)(t)-N_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 5\left\|\left(-A_{1}\right)^{-\beta}\right\|^{2}\left(L_{g_{1}}^{2} E\|x(t-u(t))-\bar{x}(t-u(t))\|^{2}+L_{\bar{g}_{1}}^{2} E\|y(t-u(t))-\bar{y}(t-u(t))\|^{2}\right) \\
& +5 M_{1-\beta}^{2} \frac{t^{2 \beta-1}}{2 \beta-1}\left(L_{g_{1}}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} E\|x(s-u(s))-\bar{x}(s-u(s))\|^{2} d s+L_{\bar{g}_{1}}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} E\|y(s-u(s))-\bar{y}(s-u(s))\|^{2} d s\right) \\
& +5 t M^{2}\left(L_{f_{1}}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\|x(s-u(s))-\bar{x}(s-u(s))\|^{2} d s+L_{\bar{f}_{1}}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\|y(s-u(s))-\bar{y}(s-u(s))\|^{2} d s\right) \\
& +5 t M^{2}\left(L_{h_{1}}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\|x(s-u(s))-\bar{x}(s-u(s))\|^{2} d s+L_{\bar{h}_{1}}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\|y(s-u(s))-\bar{y}(s-u(s))\|^{2} d s\right) \\
& +5 M^{2}\left(c_{1} \mathbb{E}\|x(t)-\bar{x}(t)\|^{2}+\bar{c}_{1} E \mathbb{E}\|y(t)-\bar{y}(t)\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{s \in[-\tau, t]}\left\|N_{1}(x, y)(s)-N_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(s)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t} \alpha(s) e^{\tau \widehat{\alpha}(s)} e^{-\tau \widehat{\alpha}(s)} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{s \in[-\tau, t]}\|x(s)-\bar{x}(s)\|^{2}\right) d s+5 M^{2} c_{1} E\left(\sup _{t \geq 0}\|x(t)-\bar{x}(t)\|^{2}\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \alpha(s) e^{\tau \widehat{\alpha}(s)} e^{-\tau \widehat{\alpha}(s)} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{s \in[-\tau, t]}\|y(s)-\bar{y}(s)\|^{2}\right) d s+5 M^{2} \bar{c}_{1} E\left(\sup _{t \geq 0}\|y(t)-\bar{y}(t)\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t} \alpha(s) e^{\tau \widehat{\alpha}(s)} d s\|x-\bar{x}\|_{*}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \alpha(s) e^{\tau \widehat{\alpha}(s)} d s\|y-\bar{y}\|_{*}^{2} \\
& +\bar{C}_{1}\left(E\left(\sup _{t \geq 0}\|x(t)-\bar{x}(t)\|^{2}\right)+\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t \geq 0}\|y(t)-\bar{y}(t)\|^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{0}^{t}\left(e^{\tau \widehat{\alpha}(s)}\right)^{\prime} d s\|x-\bar{x}\|_{*}^{2}+\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{0}^{t}\left(e^{\tau \widehat{\alpha}(s)}\right)^{\prime} d s\|y-\bar{y}\|_{*}^{2} \\
& +e^{\tau \widehat{\alpha}(t)} e^{-\tau \widehat{\alpha}(t)} \bar{C}_{1}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t \geq 0}\|x(t)-\bar{x}(t)\|^{2}\right)+E\left(\sup _{t \geq 0}\|y(t)-\bar{y}(t)\|^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{\tau}+\bar{C}_{1}\right) e^{\tau \widehat{\alpha}(t)}\|x-\bar{x}\|_{*}^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{\tau}+\bar{C}_{1}\right) e^{\tau \widehat{\alpha}(t)}\|y-\bar{y}\|_{*}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\bar{C}_{1}=\max \left\{4 M^{2} c_{1}, 4 M^{2} \bar{c}_{1}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{i}(t)=5\left\|\left(-A_{i}\right)^{-\beta}\right\|^{2} L_{g_{i}}^{2}+5 M_{1-\beta}^{2} \frac{t^{2 \beta}}{2 \beta-1} L_{g_{i}}^{2}+5 t^{2} M^{2} L_{f_{i}}^{2}+5 M^{2} c_{i}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\gamma}_{i}(t)=5\left\|\left(-A_{i}\right)^{-\beta}\right\|^{2} L_{\bar{g}_{i}}^{2}+5 M_{1-\beta}^{2} \frac{t^{2 \beta}}{2 \beta-1} L_{\bar{g}_{i}}^{2}+5 t^{2} M^{2} L_{\bar{f}_{i}}^{2}+5 M^{2} \bar{c}_{i}
$$

Therefore

$$
e^{-\tau \widehat{\alpha}(t)} \mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t \in[-\tau, b]}\left\|N_{1}(x(t), y(t))-N_{1}(\bar{x}(t), \bar{y}(t))\right\|^{2}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{\tau}+\bar{C}_{1}\right)\left[\|x-\bar{x}\|_{*}^{2}+\|y-\bar{y}\|_{*}^{2}\right]
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ is the Bielecki-type norm on $S_{b}(\phi)$ defined by

$$
\|x\|_{*}^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{t \in[0, b]}\|x(t, .)\|^{2}\right) e^{-\tau \widehat{\alpha}(t)}
$$

where

$$
\widehat{\alpha}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \alpha(s) d s, \quad t \in[0, b],
$$

and

$$
\alpha(s)=\max \left\{\gamma_{i}(t), \bar{\gamma}_{i}(t)\right\}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|N_{1}(x, y)-N_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\right\|_{*}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{\tau}+C_{1}\right)\|x-\bar{x}\|_{*}^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{\tau}+\bar{C}_{1}\right)\|y-\bar{y}\|_{*}^{2}
$$

Using the fact that for all $a, b \geq 0$ we have $\sqrt{a+b} \leq \sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}$, we conclude that

$$
\left\|N_{1}(x, y)-N_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\right\|_{*} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau}+\bar{C}_{1}}\|x-\bar{x}\|_{*}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau}+\bar{C}_{1}}\|y-\bar{y}\|_{*} .
$$

Similar computations for $N_{1}$ yield

$$
\left\|N_{2}(x, y)-N_{2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\right\|_{*} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau}+\bar{C}_{2}}\|x-\bar{x}\|_{*}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau}+\bar{C}_{2}}\|y-\bar{y}\|_{*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{C}_{2}=\max \left\{4 M^{2} c_{2}, 4 M^{2} \bar{c}_{2}\right\}, \quad \tau^{\prime}=\max \left\{\frac{\tau}{1+\tau C_{1}}, \frac{\tau}{1+\tau C_{2}}\right\}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|N(x, y)-N(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\|_{*} & =\binom{\| N_{1}\left((x, y)-N_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \|_{*}\right.}{\left\|N_{2}(x, y)-N_{2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\right\|_{*}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{\|x-\bar{x}\|_{*}}{\|y-\bar{y}\|_{*}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\|N(x, y)-N(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\|_{*} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}} M_{\alpha, \beta}\binom{\|x-\bar{x}\|_{*}}{\|y-\bar{y}\|_{*}},
$$

for all $(x, y),(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi)$, where

$$
M_{\alpha, \beta}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

If we choose a suitable $\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}>2$ such that the matrix

$$
\frac{\left\|M_{\alpha, \beta}\right\|}{\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}}<1
$$

then $\frac{M_{\alpha, \beta}}{\tau^{\prime}}$ is nonnegative, $I-\frac{\left\|M_{\alpha, \beta}\right\|}{\tau^{\prime}}$ is non singular and

$$
\left(I-\frac{M_{\alpha, \beta}}{\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}}\right)^{-1}=I+\frac{M_{\alpha, \beta}}{\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}}+\frac{M_{\alpha, \beta}^{2}}{\tau^{\prime}}+\ldots
$$

From Lemma 3.1. we obtain that $\frac{M_{\alpha, \beta}}{\sqrt{\tau^{\prime}}}$ converges to zero. As a consequence of Perov's fixed point theorem, $N$ has a unique fixed $(x, y) \in S_{b}(\phi) \times S_{b}(\phi)$ which is the unique solution of problem (1.1). Let us denote this solution by $(x, y)$.

## 5. An example

We consider the following impulsive neutral stochastic partial differential equation with Poisson jumps and finite delays driven by a Rosenblatt process of the form:

Example 5.1. Consider the following couple stochastic partial differential equation with impulsive effects

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
d(x(t, \xi) & +\frac{\alpha_{1}}{M_{\frac{1}{4}}^{4}(-A)^{\frac{3}{4} \|}}(x(t-u(t), \xi)+y(t-u(t), \xi))=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}} x(t, \xi)  \tag{5.11}\\
& +\alpha_{2}(x(t-r(t), \xi)+y(t-r(t), \xi))+e^{-t} d Z^{H}(t) \\
& +\int_{\mathcal{Z}} \alpha_{3} \kappa\left(x(t-\rho(t), \xi)+y(t-\rho(t), \xi) \widetilde{N}(d t, d \kappa), \quad t \geq 0, \quad t \neq t_{k}, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq \pi\right. \\
d(y(t, \xi) & +\frac{\lambda_{1}}{M_{\frac{1}{4}} \|(-A)^{\frac{3}{4} \|}}(x(t-u(t), \xi)-y(t-u(t), \xi))=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}} x(t, \xi) \\
& +\lambda_{2}(x(t-r(t), \xi)-y(t-r(t), \xi))+e^{-t} d Z^{H}(t) \\
& +\int_{\mathcal{Z}} \lambda_{3} \kappa\left(x(t-\rho(t), \xi)-y(t-\rho(t), \xi) \widetilde{N}(d t, d \kappa), \quad t \geq 0, \quad t \neq t_{k}, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq \pi\right. \\
x\left(t_{k}^{+}, \xi\right) & -x\left(t_{k}^{-}, \xi\right)=\frac{\alpha_{4}}{2} x\left(t_{k}^{-}, \xi\right), \quad k=1, \cdots, m, \\
y\left(t_{k}^{+}, \xi\right) & -y\left(t_{k}^{-}, \xi\right)=\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{4}}{2} y\left(t_{k}^{-}, \xi\right), \quad k=1, \cdots, m, \\
x(t, 0) & =x(t, \pi)=0, t \geq 0, \quad \alpha_{i}, \lambda_{i}>0, \quad i=1,2,3,4 \\
y(t, 0) & =y(t, \pi)=0, t \geq 0, \\
x(s, \xi) & =\phi_{1}(s, \xi), 0 \leq \xi \leq \pi,-\tau \leq s \leq 0 \\
y(s, \xi) & =\phi_{2}(s, \xi), 0 \leq \xi \leq \pi,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Take $Y=X=L^{2}([0, \pi])$. We define the operator $A_{1}=A_{2}=A$ by $A u=u^{\prime \prime}$, with domain $D(A)=\left\{u \in X, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime} \in X \quad\right.$ and $\left.\quad u(0)=u(\pi)=0\right\}$.

Then, it is well known that

$$
A x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}\left\langle x, e_{n}\right\rangle_{X} e_{n}, x \in D(A)
$$

and $A$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $X$, which is given by

$$
S(t) u=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^{2} t}\left\langle u, e_{n}\right\rangle e_{n}, u \in X, \text { and } e_{n}(u)=(2 / \pi)^{1 / 2} \sin (n u), n=1,2, \cdots, \text { is the }
$$ orthogonal set of eigenvectors of $-A$.

The bounded linear operator $(-A)^{\frac{3}{4}}$ is given by

$$
(-A)^{\frac{3}{4}} x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\langle x, e_{n}\right\rangle_{X} e_{n},
$$

with domain

$$
D\left((-A)^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)=\left\{x \in X, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\langle x, e_{n}\right\rangle_{X} e_{n} \in X\right\}
$$

The analytic semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t>0}, t \in J$, is compact, and there exists a constant $M \geq 1$ such that $\|S(t)\|^{2} \leq M$.
$Z^{H}(t)$ is Rosenblatt process with parameter $H \in(1 / 2,1)$ defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. In order to define the operator $Q: \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$, we choose a sequence $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, set $Q e_{n}=\sigma_{n} e_{n}$, and assume that

$$
\operatorname{tr}(Q)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\sigma_{n}}<\infty
$$

Define the process $B_{Q}^{H}(s)$ by

$$
Z^{H}(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\sigma_{n}} \gamma_{n}^{H}(t) e_{n}
$$

where $H \in(1 / 2,1)$, and $\left\{\gamma_{n}^{H}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of two-sided one-dimensional mutually independent fractional Brownian motions. Now, rewrite (5.11) into the abstract form of (1.1). In order to model the problem (5.11) in the abstract form of (1.1), we consider the mapping $f^{i}, g^{i}$ and $h^{i}$ for each $i=1,2$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{1}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t))) & =\frac{\alpha_{1}}{M_{\frac{1}{4}}\left\|(A)^{\frac{3}{4}}\right\|}(x(t-u(t))+y(t-u(t))) \\
g^{2}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t))) & =\frac{\alpha_{1}}{M_{\frac{1}{4}}\left\|(A)^{\frac{3}{4}}\right\|}(x(t-u(t))-y(t-u(t)))
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{1}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t))) & =\alpha_{2}(x(t-r(t))+y(t-r(t))) \\
f^{2}(t, x(t-u(t)), y(t-u(t))) & =\lambda_{2}(x(t-r(t))-y(t-r(t)))
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
h^{1}(t, x(t-\rho(t)), y(t-\rho(t)), \kappa)=\alpha_{3} \kappa(x(t-\rho(t))+y(t-\rho(t))
$$

and

$$
h^{2}(t, x(t-\rho(t)), y(t-\rho(t)), \kappa)=\lambda_{3} \kappa(x(t-\rho(t))-y(t-\rho(t))
$$

More precisely, $f^{i}, g^{i}$ and $h^{i}$ satisfy Lipschitz condition with $\left\|(-A)^{\frac{3}{4}}\right\|=1 L_{f_{1}}=L_{\bar{f}_{1}}=$ $\alpha_{2}, L_{f_{2}}=L_{\bar{f}_{2}}=\lambda_{2}$ and $L_{g_{1}}=L_{\bar{g}_{1}}=\alpha_{2}, L_{g_{2}}=L_{\bar{g}_{2}}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{M_{\frac{1}{4}}}, L_{h_{1}}=L_{\bar{h}_{1}}=\int_{\mathcal{Z}} \alpha_{3}^{2} \kappa^{2} \nu(d \kappa)$ and $c_{1}=\bar{c}_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{4}}{2}, c_{2}=\bar{c}_{2}=\frac{\lambda_{4}}{2}$. Thanks to these assumptions, it is straightforward to check
that $(H 1)-(H 6)$ hold true and, then, assumptions in Theorem 4.1 are fulfilled, and we can conclude that system (5.11) possesses a mild solution on $[-\tau, b]$.
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